

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/720,617	11/24/2003	David M. Lowe	2003B125	4251
23455 7	7590 09/01/2005		EXAMINER	
EXXONMOBIL CHEMICAL COMPANY			HAILEY, PATRICIA L	
5200 BAYWAY DRIVE P.O. BOX 2149			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BAYTOWN, TX 77522-2149			1755	
			DATE MAILED: 09/01/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/720,617	LOWE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Patricia L. Hailey	1755		

·	Patricia L. Hailey	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	•
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	06.07(f).  on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.  tension and the corresponding amount thortened statutory period for reply orightnand three months after the mailing date.	136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	te extension fee ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	s of the date of e appeal. Since
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	corresponding number of finally rej		
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	·	•
<ul> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> <li>7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [</li> </ul>		-	
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed: None.  Claim(s) objected to: None.  Claim(s) rejected: 1-21.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 22-30.	ided below or appended.	ii be entered and an e	xpianation of
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but .	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	ice because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
	S	SUPERVISORY PATENT	EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim 1 (i.e., to recite "consisting essentially of" raises a new issue requiring further search. The proposed amendment to claim 11 creates an antecedent basis problem in claim 16. Upon entry of this amendment, both claims 11 and 16 will recite the limitation "rhodium nitrate". Additionally, the proposed addition of new claim 31 has been made without the cancellation of a corresponding and finally rejected claim.

Applicants' arguments traversing the rejections of record have been considered, but are not deemed persuasive.

